

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/799,304	03/12/2004	Kevin J. Knopp	AHURA-0607	7835
75	90 06/07/2006		EXAMINER	
Mark J. Pandiscio			HELLNER, MARK	
Pandiscio & Par 470 Totten Pond	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Waltham, MA 02451-1914			3663 ·	
			DATE MAILED: 06/07/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/799,304	KNOPP ET AL.					
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	T				
		Mark Hellner	3663					
	The MAILING DATE of this communicate			ddress				
Period fo			,					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 in SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutoure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMI 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, action. In period will apply and will expire SIX by statute, cause the application to be	MUNICATION.  may a reply be timely filed  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	nn						
,—	•	☐ This action is non-final.						
3)□								
ا (۵	closed in accordance with the practice			io monto io				
	closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayre, 100	0.0.11, 100 0.0.210.					
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the app	lication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)🛛	Claim(s) 14-17 is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,7,11,18 and 19</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	Claim(s) 2, 4-6, 8-10, 12, 13, 20 and 21	is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requireme	nt.					
Annlicat	ion Papers							
	•							
•	The specification is objected to by the E		I to the fire fire artists					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	. ,						
	Applicant may not request that any objectio			DED 4 404(4)				
44	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the at	tached Office Action or form P	10-152.				
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:	foreign priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been receive	ed.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been receive	ed in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of t	he priority documents have	been received in this Nationa	al Stage				
	application from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	).					
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certified copie	es not received.					
Attachmer	nt(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		erview Summary (PTO-413)					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO	T	oer No(s)/Mail Date tice of Informal Patent Application (P1	ГО-152)				
	er No(s)/Mail Date		ner:	,				

Application/Control Number: 10/799,304

Art Unit: 3663

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 7, 11, 18 and 19 are 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeda in view of Cheng et al rejected.

Takeda discloses a source of a filtered, amplified optical signal, the source comprising: a light source (1) configured to generate seed light having a first given wavelength; a power optical amplifier (4) configured to receive the seed light and to amplify the seed light so as to generate an amplified output having a given power; and a filter (5) configured to receive the amplified optical output from the power amplifier and reduce ASE from the power amplifier so as to generate an amplified, filtered output having relatively high power and high spectral fidelity.

The difference between claim 1 and Takeda is that the laser source be a VCSEL.

Cheng et al is cited to show that it was well known at the time of the present application for a VCSEL to perform the function of a laser light source.

As a result, it would have been obvious to have used a VCSEL as the light source in the device of Takeda because Cheng et al establishes that this was a well known use.

Application/Control Number: 10/799,304

Art Unit: 3663

Claim 3 recites normal operating ranges for the amplifier (4) disclosed by Takeda.

It was notoriously well known to isolate a light source from unwanted reflections from lines to which the source is connected, thus rendering claim 7 obvious.

Claim 11 is taught by the VCSEL of Cheng et al.

Claims 18 and 19 are taught by the combination of Takeda and Cheng et al applied to claims 1,3, 7 and 11.

Claims 2, 4-6, 8-10, 12, 13, 20 and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 14-17 are allowed.

The cited prior art does not teach or suggest an amplifier located in a cavity formed by two mirrors within the environment set forth by claims 14-17.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mark Hellner at telephone number 571 272 6981.

Mark Hellner

Primary Examiner

AU 3663

Mark Helle